No.



9200076

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE: PRESENTS: SHALL COME: Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station

Withereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLI-CANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY ${f LAW}$, THE RIGHT TO EX-LUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT ETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT.

UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS Y THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'KS4390'

In Lestimony Winercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Elaut Tariety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C.

this 31st day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand nine

hundred and ninety-five.

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

A A X A IA X A IA

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, DIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL MARK		Application is assumed in the				
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIE	TY PROTECTION	CERTIFICATE	Application is required in order to determine it a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421) Information is peld confidential until certificate is isseed (7 U.S.C. 2426).			
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)	,	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR	3. VARIETY NAME			
Kansas Agricultural Experiment Stat	ion	EXPERIMENTAL NO. K1119	KS4390			
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5. PHONE (include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY			
Waters Hall Kansas State University		913 532-6147	PVPO NUMBER			
Manhattan, KS 66506			9200076			
			F Date			
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME (Botanio	and)	1 Jan. 23, 1992			
Glycine max	Leguminosae		I Ťime N G A.M. P.M.			
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)			F Filing and Examination Fee:			
Soybean		DATE OF DETERMINATION	E \$ 2/50.			
		5/1/91	S Date			
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORG. University	ANIZATION (Corporation, part	nership, association, etc.)	R Jan, 21, 1992			
			C Certificate Fee:			
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	12. DA	TE OF INCORPORATION	1 1:420.00			
·			E Feb. 22 1995			
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, T	O SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION	N AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS	B 768-22, 1773			
Vernon A. Schaffer, Department of Ag Kansas State University, Throckmorto Manhattan, KS 66506-5501	pronomy on Hall					
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Fo	Ilou (METRI ICTIONO	PHONE (include area code	a):			
a. X Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.	now INSTRUCTIONS on revers	: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.						
c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety.						
d. X Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.						
e. X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Owners	hip.					
t. X Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seet	d Sample mailed to Plant V.	ariety Protection Office				
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "	Treasurer of the United Sta	ites."				
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SI Protection Act.) X YES (II "YES." answer items 16 and 17 b.	OLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY	AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? (See	section 83(a) of the Plant Variety			
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	TO 17 IF "VEC" TO	D." skip to item 18 below)				
	i —	ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PRODUC	CTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?			
	X Four	NDATION X REGISTE	RED X CERTIFIED			
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE V.	ARIETY IN THE U.S.?					
YES (If "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act	Patent Act. Give date	•	·			
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR M	MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR O	THER COUNTRIES?				
1971	A, 1991					
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic serequest in accordance with such regulations as may be app						
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitle Applicant(s) is (are) informed that follows required to the follows of the follows required that the follows required that follows required the follows requ	sexually reproduced ne	ovel plant variety, and believet	s) that the variety is distinct,			
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation her			ant Variety Protection Act.			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s)) CAPACITY OR TITLE DATE						
\mathcal{L}	Associate Director of					
SIGNATING OF ADDITIONAL TO	Kansas	Ag Experiment Statio	on 1/15/92			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OR TIT	TUE .	DATE			
			1			
FORM CSSD-470 (5-89) Edition of FORM LS-470, 3-86, is obsolute.						

Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History of 'KS4390' Soybean

- 1. KS4390 is an F_4 selection from the cross K1022 x Essex. K1022 is an F_4 -derived line from the cross Williams x Columbus. The original cross was made in 1977. F_1 , F_2 , and F_4 generations were grown in the field, the F_3 generation was grown in a winter nursery. F_2 and F_3 generations were advanced by single-seed descent. Single-plant selections were made in the F_4 generation.
- 2. KS4390 was evaluated in replicated yield trials for three years in KS, followed by evaluation in the USDA ARS Northern 1985 and 1986 Uniform tests.
- 3. KS4390 is stable. When sexually reproduced the variety remains unchanged in its essential and distinctive characteristic.
- 4. KS4390 is uniform. Variants are limited to slightly taller plants, and slightly later plants which occur at a frequency of less than 1 in 10,000. Rouging with the objective of eliminating those off-types continues. The variants, as well as typical plants, are commercially acceptable.

Exhibit B. Novelty Statement 9200076 Countres 8/1/92 W.T. Schapenigh

'KS4390' is most similar to 'Sparks'. KS4390 differs from Sparks in the following characteristics:

- KS4390 matures 2 days later than Sparks. 1.
- 2. KS4390 has purple flowers in contrast to the white flower of Sparks.
- KS4390 is susceptible to phytophthora root rot while Sparks is resistant 3. to races 1 and 2.

PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

	SOTBEX	AN (Glycille II	ax LJ		
NAME	OF APPLICANT(S)	TEMPORARY D	ESIGNATION VARIET	TY NAME	
Kans	as Agricultural Experiment Station	K1119	KS4	390	
Kans Wate	RESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code as State University rs Hall attan, KS 66506	PVPO	IUMBER	0076	
Starre	se the appropriate response which characterizes the var- ir answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided, d characters ** are considered fundamental to an adequinformation is available.	place a zero in t	ne first box when num	aber is 9 or less	(e.g., 0 9).
	EN SHAPE:			+	
2	1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2) 3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)		ical Flattened (L/W ratio ate Flattened (L/T ratio		
2. SEE	D COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)				
1	1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black	5 = Other (Specify)		
3. SEE	D COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed) 1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('Nebsoy	/'; 'Gasoy 17')			
4. SEE	D SIZE: (Mature Seed)				
1 4	Grams per 100 seeds		٠.		
5. HILL	JM COLOR: (Mature Seed)				
1997 10 18	1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown 4	= Gray 5 =	Imperfect Black	6 = Black	7 = Other (Specify)
6. COT	YLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)			- .	
1	1 = Yellow 2 = Green		; · · · · · · ·		
7. SEEC	PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:			٧.	
1	1 = Low 2 = High				
8. SEED	PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:				
2	1 = Type A (SP1 ⁸) 2 = Type B (SP1 ^b)				
9. HYPO	COTYL COLOR:				
3	1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green with b 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71') 4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson'; 'Co		cotyledons ('Woodwort A')	h'; 'Tracy')	
O. LEAF	LET SHAPE:		The second of the second		
3	1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate	4 = Other.(Specify)		

FORM LMGS-470-57 (6-83)

Page 2 of

19. DISEASE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) (Continued)									
	FUN	GAL DISEAS	ES: (Continued)						
*	1	Pod and Ste	m Blight <i>(Diaporthe phaseolorum</i> var; sojae	andra de la companya de la companya Mangana de la companya de la company					
	1	Purple Seed	Stain (Cercospora kikuchii)						
	0	Rhizoctonia	Root Rot (Rhizoctonia solani)						
		Phytophtho	ra Rot (Phytophthora megasperma var. soja	<u></u>					
*	1	Race 1	0 Race 2 0 Race 3	1 Race 4 0 Race 5	0 Race 6 0 Race 7				
	0 Race 8 0 Race 9 0 Other (Specify)								
	VIRA	L DISEASES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	And the second of the second o					
	0	Bud Blight (Tobacco Ringspot Virus)	n en mer gelek (* 15 de de de de) en 1 Geografie	and the second of the second s				
	0	Yellow Mosa	ic (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)						
*	0	Cowpea Mos	aic (Cowpea Chtorotic Virus)						
	0	Pod Mottle (Bean Pod Mottle Virus)						
*	1	Seed Mottle	(Soybean Mosaic Virus)						
	NEMA	ATODE DISE.	ASES:	•					
Soybean Cyst Nematode (Heterodera glycines)									
*	1	Race 1	1 Race 2 1 Race 3	1 Race 4 Other (Specify)				
. • • •	1 Lance Nematode (Hoplolaimus Colombus)								
*	1	Southern Ro	ot Knot Nematode (Meloidogynę incognita)						
*		Northern Ro	ot Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne Hapla)						
		Peanut Root	Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne arenaria)						
			matode (Rotylenchulus reniformis)						
	H	OTHER DISE	ASE NOT ON FORM (Specify):	•	•				
	PHYSIO	LOGICAL RE	SPONSES: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Sus	ceptible; 2 = Resistant)					
*		Iron Chlorosis	on Calcareous Soil						
•	<u> </u>	Other <i>(Specif</i>)	/)						
21,	21. INSECT REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)								
		Mexican Bean	Beetle (Epilachna varivestis)						
	O Potato Leaf Hopper (Empoasca fabae)								
	O Other (Specify)								
22. INDICATE WHICH VARIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT SUBMITTED.									
	CHARA	CTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY				
Р	lant Shap	e	Amsoy 71	Seed Coat Luster	Sparks				
L	eaf Shape	-	Sparks	Seed Size	Ripley				
	eaf Color		Sparks	Seed Shape	Spencer				
· L	eaf Size	* · · ·	Amsoy 71	Seedling Pigmentation	Williams				
					1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1997年,1				

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

VARIETY	NO. OF DAYS	PLANT LODGING	CM PLANT	and a control	ET SIZE	SEED CO	YTENT	SEED SIZE G/100	NO. SEEDS/	
	MATURITY	SCORE	HEIGHT	CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	SEEDS	POD	
Submitted	129	1.5	99.1	5.5	7.1	36.0	19.9	13.5	2.82	
Sparks Name of Similar Variety	127	1.9	106.7	7.1	9.3	35.6	20.1	17.4	2.40	

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A₂ in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.



Exhibit D. Additional Description of Variety

Throughout 5 years of testing in KS, KS4390 has tended to mature a few days later, been slightly shorter, and less susceptible to lodging than Sparks (Table 1).

In Uniform tests, KS4390 was classified as being resistant to bacterial pustule (Table 2), but susceptible to brown stem rot (Tables 2 and 5), phytophthora root rot (Table 3) and iron chlorosis (Table 5). Seed size of KS4390 tends to be smaller in comparison to Sparks and many other maturity group IV cultivars (Tables 4 and 7).

Exhibit D:

Table	1. Performance of I	KS4390 across 42 te	sts in Kansas, 1985-1	1989.
	Yield	Maturity	Lodging	Height
	bu/a	moday	score 1	in.
KS4390	52.1 a ²	9-30 a	1.5 b	39 b
Sparks	48.7 b	9-28 b	1.9 c	42 a
Spencer	49.3 b	9-29 b	1.4 a	38 b

1.

^{1 =} almost all plants erect, 2 = all plants leaning slightly or a few plants down. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level of probability. 2.

		PR	ELIMI	NARY I	TEST IVB, 198 D DISEASE D	35 ata	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ş.
		<u>, </u>		tering	J DIOLAGE D	<u> </u>	BS	·
			Sc	ore	Shattering	BP		
			Eldo	orado	Score	Eldorado	Plant	Stem
Strain	Descriptive		10/4	11/8	Manhattan	Reaction	N	N
0 1 (0.0	Code	T					%	%
Sparks (IV)	WITSYBL	1	3.0	4.0	1.0	R	100	51.0
Williams 82 (III)	WTTSYBL		1.0	2.0	1.0	R	90	52.5
<u>C1662</u>	WTBDYBr		2.5	4.0	1.0	S	100	62.3
C1665	WGTDYBf		1.0	2.0	1.0	R	80	42.0
<u>C1666</u>	WTTSYBL	1	1.0	2.5	1.0	R	80	42.7
<u>C1683</u>	PTBDYBr		1.0	2.0	1.0		60	17.6
<u>C1685</u>	PTTSYBL	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	R	80	43.8
K1117	PTBDYBL	<u> </u>	2.0	2.0	1.0	S	60	37.1
K1118	WTBDYBL		1.0	2.0	1.0	R	70	33.0
K1119	PTTSYIb		1.0	2.5	1.0	R	60	37.0
HC77-2204	PGTSYBf	D	1.0	3.0	1.0	•	100	56.7
C1668	PTTSYBL	D	2.3	2.0	1.0	-	50	26.1
C1670	PTTSYBL	D	1.0	1.0	1.0	•	30	14.6
C1673	P+WTTDYBL	D	1.5	3.0	1.0	-	70	42.8
HC78-1922	<u>PTTSYBr</u>	D	2.0	2.0	1.0	-	100	62.8
HC79-1264	PTTDYBL	D	2.5	2.5	1.0	-	90	57.0
HC79-3962	PTTSYBL	D	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	70	47.7
HC80-256	PTTSYBL	D	1.8	2.0	1.0	•	80	69.6
HC80-1420	WTTDYBL	D	2.5	3.0	1.0	-	60	38.6
HC80-1626	WTTDYBL + Br	D	1.0	3.0	1.0	-	100	73.2
HC80-2272	PTTSYBL	D	3.3	3.5	1.0	-	70	43.6
HC80-5894	PTTSYBL		1.5	1.5	1.0	<u>-</u>	100	81.6
HC81-799	PTTSYBL		1.5	1.0	1.0	-	100	46.5
HC81-817	WTTSYBL		1.3	1.5	1.0	-	100	68.4
HC81-4234	PTTDYBL		1.5	2.0	1.0	•	90	46.1
HC81-4556	WTTSYBL		2.0	2.5	1.0	-	90	64.0
HC81-4561	WTTSYBL		1.5	2.0	1.0	_	80	37.5
HC82-6073	P+WTBDYBL		1.5	2.0	1.0	_	100	55.9

Descriptive Code: 123 456

1 = Flower color: Purple, White
2 = Pubescence Color: Tawny, Gray, Light Tawny
3 = Pod Color: Brown, Tan
4 = Seed Coat Luster: Dull, Shiny, Intermediate
5 = Seed Coat Color: Yellow

6 = Hilum Color: Black, Imperfect black, Brown, Buff, Gray, Tan, Yellow

Exhibit D. Table 3.

		PREL	IMINARY TE DISEASE		35		1
		PR	DIOLAGE	PS	PSB	SMV	Germ
	Ames	Lafayette	Vickery		100	OWIV	aeiiii
Strain	Race 4	Race 1	Tolerance	а	n	а	
	Rea	ction	Score	%	%	Score	%
Sparks (IV)	S	R	2.4	16	22	5E	74
Williams 82 (III)	R	R	2.5	13	0	5M	92
C1662	S	R	2.6	17	6	1	<u>84</u>
C1665	S	S	2.4	20	0	1	99
C1666	R	R	2.3	15	12	5E	82
C1683	Н	R	2.5	5	14	4E	80
C1685	R	R	2.4	14	4	5E	92
K1117	S	R	2.6	15	4	1	94
K1118	S	R	2.4	13	28	5E	60
K1119	S	S	2.9	6	2	3E	96
HC77-2204	S	R	2.3	1	0	3E	99
C1668	S	Н	3.1	7	0	2E	99
C1670	R	R	2.5	2	0	4E	90
C1673	s	S	3.5	10	4	5E	86
HC78-1922	S	S	2.9	7	2	1	96
HC79-1264	S	S	3.1	9	4	ЗМ	96
HC79-3962	S	S	3.0	7	0	ЗМ	96
HC80-256	S	S	3.5	10	0	3E	90
HC80-1420	S	S	3.3	3	6	1	88
HC80-1626	S	S	2.4	6	2	2E	<u> </u>
HC80-2272	S	S	3.3	15	0	1	80
HC80-5894	S	S	2.9	5	2	1	90
HC81-799	S	S	2.9	5	4	2M	90
HC81-817	S	S	3.3	1	0	1	96
HC81-4234	S	S	2.6	8	0	4M	92
HC81-4556	S	S	3.0	5	4	5E	84
HC81-4561	S	S	3.5	33	Ō	5E	98
HC82-6073	S	S	2.8	7	0	2M	96

Exhibit D. Table 4

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PF		RY TEST		5	·	ا غوا	
	"		Regio	nal Sumr		,		i de	
Strain	Viole	Dank			Plant	Seed	Seed	Compos	
***************************************	Yield	Rank		Lodging		Quality	Size	Protein	Oil
No. of Tests	7	7	7	7	.7	7	7	5	5
Sparks	<u>bu/a</u> 44.2	No.	Date	Score	in.	Score	g/100	%	%
Williams 82 (III)	46.3	17	9-25.6	2.1	39	3.1	17.4	39.7	22.3
C1662			-0.6	1.7	36	2.6	17.5	42.0	21.9
C1665	44.0	22	0.0	1.6	38	3.2	18.4	41.6	22.2
C1665 C1666	48.3	3	+2.3	1.5	37	2.6	16.8	40.1	22.4
	44.1	20	-1.6	1.5	37	2.4	17.3	41.7	22.2
C1683	44.2	17	-1.1	1.7	34	2.5	16.2	42.9	21.2
C1685	44.2	17	-0.1	1.5	40	2.7	16.2	43.6	20.9
K1117	46.7	8	-1.0	2.5	37	2.8	17.9	41.2	22.3
K1118	42.5	25	+5.6	1.8	37	3.5	17.5	41.6	21.8
K1119	46.6	9	+3.4	1.6	39	2.0	13.5	40.9	21.6
HC77-2204	51.0	11	+0.9	1.5	26	1.7	13.9	39.4	21.9
C1668	45.3	14	-1.1	1.3	22	2.7	16.3	42.0	22.3
<u>C1670</u>	45.7	12	-0.1	1.2	23	2.0	15.0	42.1	21.3
C1673	44.7	16	+0.6	1.9	29	2.9	14.7	38.9	22.9
HC78-1922	41.0	26	-0.4	1,3	21	2.4	15.2	43.2	21.5
HC79-1264	45.6	13	+1.6	1.3	23	2.4	17.5	42.7	22.0
HC79-3962	46.8	7	+0.3	1.2	24	2.3	14.6	40.2	21.6
HC80-256	45.1	15	+0.4	1.4	21	2.4	15.5	41.1	21.6
HC80-1420	42.6	24	+1.1	1.2	20	2.7	16.8	43.3	21.9
HC80-1626	40.5	28	+3.4	1.4	22	2.6	17.8	43.3	21.0
HC80-2272	42.9	23	-4.0	1.3	21	2.1	16.8	43.6	21.6
HC80-5894	40.9	27	+0.6	1.4	20	2.1	16.5	42.4	22.1
HC81-799	48.1	4	+0.1	2.5	25	2.1	16.1	39.8	22.5
HC81-817	49.0	2	+1.3	1.7	22	2.1	17.3	41.1	22.4
HC81-4234	46.2	11	+0.6	1.1	21	2.1	16.6	43.6	21.3
HC81-4556	47.5	5	+1.3	1.2	23	2.2	19.4	41.7	21.8
HC81-4561	44.1	20	+0.4	1.2	22	2.4	18.8	41.4	22.5
HC82-6073	47.2	6	+2.6	1.6	23	2.1	16.1	43.0	21.8

^{*126} Days After Planting

None of the determinate strains in this text had higher seed yields than the check variety Ripley (HC77-2204). Three indeterminate strains were higher yielding than the indeterminate check varieties. As in preliminary test IVA, there was a wide range in lodging scores at Eldorado in 1985.

				M TEST IV, 1 AND DISEA					
							BSR		
						An	nes	St. Paul	
			Cl	nlorosis	Shattering	Plant	Stem	Plant	
	Descriptive			Score	Score	N	N	N	
Strain	Code		Ames	Lamberton	Manhattan	%	%	%	
Douglas	WTSSYBL	1	3.0	3	1	100	95.0	50	
Pyramid	PGTSYIb		3.7	5	1	100	95.6	_	
Ripley	PGTSYBL	D	3.2	4	1	100	97.5	70	
Chamberlain (III)	PTBSYBL	Ī	2.8	4	2	100	88.4	-0-	
Morgan (IV)	WTTDYBL		2.5	3	2	100	91.3	50	
C1653	STBDYBr	_ I	2.7	4	1	100	96.3	-0-	
C1657	PTBDYBL	1	2.5	3	2	100	87.6	50	
C1665	WGBDYBf	ı	3.0	5		100	95.5	70	
HC80-592	WTTSYBL	D	2.7	4	1	100	98.1	50	
HC81-799	PTTSYBL	D	3.7	3	1	100	100.0	50	
HC81-817	WTTSYBL	D	3.3	4	-	100	97.5	50	
K1106	STTDYBL	I	3.0	5	1	100	91.1	60	
K1119	PTTDYIb	1	2.8	4	2	100	94.8	70	
LN82-2366	P+WGTSYBf	1	3.2	5	<u></u>	100	88.9	50	
LN82-4433	PRBDYBL		3.3	2	1	100	96.1	20	
LS80-6521	PTTDYBL	1	2.5	4	1	100	86.7	60	
MD80-l12-1	WTBSYBL	1	3.5	3	1	100	81.9	50	
Md81-0953	STTSYBr	1	2.2	5	•	100	85.4	50	

	UNIFORM TEST IV, 1986 DISEASE DATA									
	BTS	Mottl	ing PS	PR	PS	PSB	SMV			
	Ames	Ora	ange	Vickery		Lafayette	<u> </u>			
Strain	a Score	%	%	Tolerance Score	a %	a %	a Score			
Douglas	4	8	0	3.4	31	58	3E			
<u>Pyramid</u>	5	36	0	2.8	29	62	5E			
Ripley	3	0	0	2.6	2	14	3E			
Chamberlain (III)	4	6	0	2.8	9	34	5S			
Morgan (IV)	4	18	0	3.2	<u></u>	56	5E			
C1653	3	0	0	3.1	37	26	1			
C1657	3	0	0	2.6	30	26	2E			
C1665	4	0	1	2.4	18	22	1			
HC80-592	3	1	0	3.0	34	42	1			
HC81-799	4	1	0	3.5	10	30	2E			
HC81-817	3	0	0	3.3	14	48	1			
K1106	4	15	0	2.6	19	64	5E			
K1119	3	0	0	3.0	19	70	2E			
LN82-2366	4	0	0	2.8	20	18	1			
LN82-4433	3	22	0	2.6	12	52	5E			
LS80-6521	4	52	0	2.8	15	54	5E			
MD80-I12-1	3	23	0	3.1	23	50	4M			
Md81-0953	3	0	0	3.2	<u>23</u>	46	2M			

				M TEST	-				
	Yield	Rank		Lodging	Plant	Seed	Seed	Compos	
No. of Tests	18	18	16	17	18	Quality 17	Size 16	Protein 5	<u>Oil</u> 5
	bu/a	No.	Date	Score	ln.	Score	g/100	%	%
Douglas	49.3	10	+4.3	2.2	39	2.8	18.7	40.4	21.5
Pyramid	44.6	17	+2.8	2.4	42	2.2	14.6	39.6	20.1
Ripley	49.9	7	-4.4	1.3	22	1.6	13.5	39.7	21.0
Chamberlain (III)	49.0	12	-7.4	2.2	38	2.7	17.7	40.4	21.2
Morgan (IV)	49.7	8	9-24.6*	2.3	40	2.1	17.7	42.6	20.6
C1653	52.3	1	-0.4	1.6	39	2.2	17.4	40.7	21.4
C1657	52.0	2	-2.9	2.3	41	2.3	16.7	40.9	20.8
C1665	49.1	11	+0.4	1.7	39	2.2	16.4	39.7	20.9
HC80-592	43.2	18	-6.1	1.2	20	2.0	17.6	41.1	22.4
HC81-799	46.2	14	-7.2	1.3	21	2.1	15.7	40.6	21.5
HC81-817	45.6	16	-1.3	1.2	19	2.0	17.6	41.0	21.7
K1106	50.3	5	-0.9	1.6	36	2.1	16.6	40.2	21.3
K1119	50.3	5	-0.1	1.8	38	1.8	13.6	40.0	21.3
LN82-2366	50.8	4	-6.4	2.0	35	2.2	16.6	40.9	21.8
LS82-4433	49.5	9	-1.8	1.7	36	2.2	16.3	40.2	21.0
LS80-6521	46.1	15	+4.8	2.4	40	1.9	15.9	39.7	
Md80-IL2-1	46.8	13	+4.1	2.9	46	2.1	15.4	40.8	21.4
Md81-0953	51.0	3	+0.4	2.4	39	2.0	15.7	40.0	21.0 21.3

^{*129} days after planting.

Disease reactions are listed according to "Soybean Disease Survey Standards", March 1960, unless otherwise specified. Disease reaction is scored from 1 (no disease) to 5 (very severe), or in some cases as percent infected or simply as + (present) or 0 (absent). Purple seed stain and seed mottling follow the disease severity class rating:

Disease severity class rating 1 2 3 4 5
No. diseased seed in sample 0 1-3% 4-8% 9-19% 20-100%

An additional classification to describe the extent of seedcoat mottling as M (mild), E (extensive), or S (severe), is included. Pod and stem blight is rated as percent of infected seed on a four-week ("d") delayed harvest sample. The location where the test was made is identified in the column heading, and the letter "a" or "n" signifies artificial or natural infection. Clearcut and consistent reactions are given by letter instead of number: R = resistant, S = susceptible, I = intermediate, and H = heterogeneous. Natural infection ratings are from agronomic tests in some instances and from special disease planting in others. Absence of symptoms under natural infection does not necessarily mean high resistance.

<u>Abbreviation</u>	<u>Disease</u>	<u>Pathogen</u>
BB BBV BP	Bacterial blight Bud blight Bacterial pustule	Pseudomonas syringa pv. glycinea Tobacco ringspot virus Xanthomonas campestris pv.
BS BSR BTS CN CR DM FE ₁ , FE ₂ PM	Brown spot Brown stem rot Bacterial tan spot Cyst nematode Charcoal rot Downy mildew Frogeye, race 1, 2 Powdery mildew Phytophthora rot	phaseoli Septoria glycines Phialophora gregata Corynebacterium flaccumfaciens Heterodera glycines Macrophomina phaseolina Peronospora manshurica Cercospora sojina Microsphaera diffusa Phytophthora megasperma
PS PSB	Purple stain Pod & stem blight	f. sp. <u>glycinea</u> <u>Cercospora kikuchii</u> <u>Diaporthe phaseolorum</u> var.
Pyd Pyu RK RP SB SC	Pythium root rot Pythium root rot Root knot nematode Phizoctonia root rot Sclerotial blight Stem canker	sojae Pythium debaryanum Pythium ultimum Meloidogyne spp. Rhizoctonia solani Sclerotium rolfsii Diaporthe phaseolorum
SMV TS WF	Soybean mosaic Target spot Wildfire	var. <u>caulivora</u> <u>Soja</u> virus 1 <u>Corynespora cassiicola</u> <u>Pseudomonas syringae</u> pv.
YMV	Yellow mosaic	<u>tabaci</u> <u>Phaseolus</u> virus 2

Ratings for BB, BP, DM, FE2, and PM were based on leaf symptoms; those for BSR on percent of plants with stem browning, or percent of stem length browned.

Tolerance rating categories for Phytophthora were as follows: 1 = no dead plants and no stunting; 2 = no dead plants and slight stunting or few dead plants and no stunting; 3 = few dead plants and moderate stunting or several dead plants and slight stunting; 4 = up to 50% dead plants and moderate stunting; 5 = over 50% dead plants and severe stunting.

Reference Varieties Amcor 3.1 Zane 3.1 Pella 2.8 A3127 3.0 Harosoy 4.5 Elgin 3.4 Hoyt 4.0 Harper 3.3 Ripley 2.6

The percent germination is based on a 100 - seed sample placed on potato-dectrose agar in petri plates. Percent hard seed is based on the number of seeds in this test that did not inibibe water.

The percent green seed is based on a 100 - seed sample and is the number of seed with a green or partially green seedcoat.

Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of Applicant Ownership

The variety for which Plant Variety Protection is hereby sought was developed by Dr. W.T. Schapaugh, Jr., an employee of Kansas State University Experiment Station. By agreement between the employees and Kansas State University Experiment Station, all rights to any invention, discovery, or development made by the employee while employed by Kansas State University Experiment Station, were assigned by Kansas State University Experiment Station with no rights of any kind retained by the employees.